Case 1:05-cv-11045-GAO

Document 9

9 Filed 08/17/2005 Page 1 of 2 **PROCESS RECEIPT AND RETURN**

U.S. Department of Justice United States Marshals Service

See Instructions for "Service of Process by the U.S. Marshal" on the reverse of this form.

PLAINTIFF							COURT CASE NUME	BER
/	$M \pm cHa$	EV E	Saez				05-11045	T-GAO
DEFENDANT 1	Atellac	·) 🚜	1110	1841	ST 11.		CTVTL	ACTION
SERVE (NAME OF INC	IVIDUAL, C	OMPANY, C	ORPORATION	N, ETC., TO SERVE	OR DESCRI		O SEIZE OR CONDEMN
JERVE	SGTE	MONE	PR	ES70	N Souza	Bar	Nowski	CORRECTIONS
-	ADDRESS (St	reet or RFD,	Apartment N	lo., City, Stat	e and ZIP Code)	C	ENTER	
AT	FOF	3 Xx	3000	, ,	SHERL	ELL	MA 014	64
END NOTICE	OF SERVICE COP				ADDRESS BELOW:	— Numbe	r of process to be	
<u> </u>	- :01+c;	/ - \	0			l served	with this Form - 285	1 1
'	Mtct of B	1351	- 3	38Z		Numbe	r of parties to be	
	POR	1 V 10	UR JI	JNCT	TOM	served	in this case	12
	50. Wa	1,0018	MA	02.07	11-0100	Check	for service	
					·	on U.S	S.A.	
					SIST IN EXPEDITI	NG SERVIC	E (Include Business and	Alternate Addresses, All
elepnone Numb	ers, and Estimated					C-0	10	Fold
	PHO	NE	97	8	514 (00		
	ney or other Origina	P >=		===>	☐ PLAINTIF☐ DEFENDA	- بے ا	PHONE NUMBER	DATE 1-23-0
SPACE BI	ELOW FOR	USE O	F U.S. N	1ARSHA	L ONLY — I	OO NOT	WRITE BELC	W THIS LINE
	ceipt for the total	Total Process		District			IS Deputy or Clerk	Date
umber of process	s indicated. USM 285 if more		of Origin	to Serve	ر اد م	. 1	G ACC	BEAR A.
an one USM 28			No. 38	_ No38	nan	4	elanere,	5 SSR 8/3/
							shown in "Remarks", the	
							above (See remarks be	
	of individual served					<u> </u>		suitable and dis-
		,	,					residing in the defendant's
	e only if different th	an shown abo	ve)				Date of Service	Time am
ddress (complet								}
ddress (complet								I nm
ddress (complet							Signature of U.S	. Marshal or Deputy
ddress (complet							Signature of U.S	. Marshal or Deputy
Address (complete	Total Mileage Chi		arding Fee	Total Charges	Advance Deposits	Amount o	Signature of U.S.	
	Total Mileage Chi (including endea		arding Fee 1	Total Charges	Advance Deposits	Amount o		. Marshal or Deputy
Service Fee	(including endea	vors)						. Marshal or Deputy
	(including endea				Advance Deposits			. Marshal or Deputy
			arding Fee	Total Charges	Advance Deposits	Amount		. Marshal or Deputy

	ATES DISTR	RICT COURT
	_ District of	MASSACHUSETTS
MICHAEL BAEZ Plaintiff V.		SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE
MICHAEL MALONEY, ET AL. Defendants	CASE	C.A. 05-11045-GAO
TO: (Name and address of Defendant)		
EDMUND PRESTON, SGT. DOUG TO CONSIGNATION SICTED BOX EURO THE LEVEL MA OR YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and	CURRECT HOY I required to serve u	pon PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY (name and address)
MICHAEL BAEZ, PRO SE MCI CEDAR JUNCTION RO BOX 100 SJ. WALPIE, MA OZUTI-O * or answer as otherwise required by the Fed	つくつ leral Rules of Civil 1	Procedure.
- · ·	vice. If you fail to	days after service of this do so, judgment by default will be taken against your answer with the Clerk of this Court within
		SINTES DISTRICT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

MICHAEL BAEZ, Plaintiff.

V.

Civil Action No. 05-11045-GAO

MICHAEL MALONEY, ET AL., Defendants

ORDER ON APPLICATION TO PROCEED WITHOUT PREPAYMENT OF FEES

Now before the Court is plaintiff's Application to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees and Affidavit:

FINDINGS The Court finds the following: Is plaintiff a "prisoner" as defined in 28 U.S.C. § 1915(h)? Α. \boxtimes Nο Yes B. Is a filing fee, under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b), to be assessed at this time? 1. Yes 🗆 Plaintiff is obligated to pay the statutory filing fee immediately. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). (\$250.00 for a civil action or \$255.00 for a notice of appeal). 2. Yes 🖾 An initial partial filing fee of **\$.80** is assessed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). The remainder of the fee \$249.20 is to be assessed in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2). 3. Yes \square Plaintiff has proffered evidence of being without funds for six months and being currently without funds. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2), plaintiff is assessed an obligation to make monthly payments of 20 percent of the preceding month's income credited to the prisoner's account each time it exceeds \$10.00 towards the payment of the \$250.00 filing fee. 4. No □ Plaintiff is not assessed a filing fee at this time.

C.	After screening pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 and/or 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, which, if any, of the following findings does the court make?							
	1.			ch relief	e complaint is frivolous, malicious, or fails may be granted? the claims against defendant(s)			
	2.			mune fi	e complaint seeks relief from a defendant rom such relief? the claims against defendant(s)			
3. 42 U.S.C. § 1997e, Sec. 7(g)(2):								
•				•	ndant to reply to a complaint if it [the reasonable opportunity to prevail on the			
		pleading re that the pla	ourt first, determined that the complaint is sufficient to satisfy the equirements for stating a cognizable claim and, second, found aintiff has a reasonable opportunity to prevail on the merits on hing claims in the complaint against one or more defendants?					
		a. Yes 🗆	The court ha	as so de	etermined and found as to defendant(s)			
		b. No 🗆	not satisfy p	leading st	requirements for stating a cognizable			
			⊔ all defer	idants	the defendant(s)			
		c. No 🗆	because the court has determined that the likelihood to plaintiff will prevail on the merits falls short of the "reasonable opportunity" standard of the statute, as to claims against					
			☐ all defer	idants	the defendant(s)			

	d. Cannot sa	ay		
		on		sible for the Court to make a determination lestions on the present record as to the nst
		⊠ all de	fendants	☐ the defendant(s)
			ORDE	RS
Based	l upon the foregoing	, it is ORD	ERED:	
1.	May the application Yes	to procee	_	prepayment of fees be GRANTED?
2.	If a finding is made subject to early mod Yes	•	· .	above, is it a provisional finding that is
	days of the date of account, or a stater perjury, showing eli without payment of	this Order ment signe gibility to p as much a vill conside	, either a d by plai proceed in as found i	ng applies: If the plaintiff files, within 35 certified copy of his/her prison trust ntiff under the pains and penalties of a this action without paying a filing fee or n paragraph B above to be assessed the ificate or statement in determining whether
3.			er to the i	nce with 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2), that the nstitution having custody of plaintiff?
4.	Marshal serve a co	py of the o	omplaint, ervice to b	erk issue summons and the United States summons, and this order as directed by the advanced by the United States?
				only as to defendants
5.	Is it FURTHER ORI		s 🗆 🗅 o	erk dismiss certain claims in this action ? as to all defendants only as to defendant(s)
			Page	3

6.	a.	Although defendant(s) may not have been served with a summons and complaint, are the defendant(s) invited but not required to file an answer to aid the court in reaching a prompt final disposition on the merits?						
	OR	No ☐ Yes ☒☒ as to all defendants ☐ only as to defendant(s)						
	b.	If the defendant(s) have been served with a summons and complaint, are the defendant(s) required to reply within the time specified in the summons? No Yes only as to defendants only as to defendants						
Dated	l: June	24, 2005 /s/ George A. O'Toole GEORGE A. O'TOOLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE						

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

MICHAEL BAEZ, Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 05-11045-GAO

MICHAEL MALONEY, ET AL., Defendants

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

O'TOOLE, D.J.

In his Complaint, Plaintiff has requested appointment of counsel. This request for appointment of counsel is denied without prejudice.

It is well settled that the decision to appoint counsel is discretionary, and neither a civil litigant nor a habeas petitioner has a constitutional or statutory right to appointed counsel.

Dellenbach v. Hanks, 76 F.3d 820, 823 (7th Cir. 1996), cert. denied. 519 U.S. 894 (1996);

Jackson v. Coalter, 337 F.3d 74 (1st Cir. 2003)(state prisoner had no right to counsel to collaterally attack sentence). In order to qualify for appointment of counsel, a party must be indigent and exceptional circumstances must exist such that the denial of counsel will result in fundamental unfairness impinging on the party's due process rights. See DesRosiers v. Moran, 949 F. 2d 15, 23 (1st Cir. 1991). See also Manisy v. Maloney, 283 F. Supp. 2d 307, 317 (D. Mass. 2003)(Memorandum and Order on Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Dein, adopted (on the denial of counsel issue) by Judge Stearns by Memorandum and Order (#32) dated September 4, 2003 in C.A. 01-11849-RGS). In determining whether there are exceptional circumstances sufficient to warrant the appointment of counsel, a court must examine the total situation, focusing on the merits of the case, the complexity of the legal issues, and the litigant's

Case 1:05-cv-11045-GAO Document 9-2 Filed 08/17/2005 Page 6 of 6

ability to represent himself. DesRosiers, 949 F. 2d at 23-24 (citations omitted).

While the Court finds that the Plaintiff in this action has demonstrated that he is without sufficient funds to retain counsel, he has not yet demonstrated the kind of "exceptional circumstances" that warrant appointment of counsel in this action. Petitioner merely requests "a law firm be appointed" but does not provide any facts whatsoever to justify a *pro bono* appointment of counsel. There is no indication here that the Plaintiff is unable to represent himself competently due to lack of mental capacity or lack of understanding of the English language. In fact, Petitioner's pleadings to date appear to be well-organized and coherent, suggesting a proficiency in the English language and at least some familiarity with legal terms and prison law. The mere fact that he is a prisoner and is proceeding *pro se* is insufficient to call for appointment of counsel, because such assertions essentially could be made by any prisoner litigant. Further, Petitioner has not shown that this case raises novel or complex issues of law.

Absent such indications, appointment is not warranted at this time, and the request is Denied.

The denial of the request, however, is without prejudice to renew after the Defendants have filed a response to the Complaint. If the Plaintiff wishes to renew his request, he must file a "Motion for Appointment of Counsel" setting forth the exceptional circumstances which he alleges exist in this case which would support the motion.

Dated: June 24, 2005 /s/ George A. O'Toole

GEORGE A. O'TOOLE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE